

Public Comments 4/18/24 - 5/10/24 – Transit and EV-Supportive Code Amendments

Stats	
Total	440
Compatibility	46
HOME 2	107
ETOD	130
EV Charging	17
General/Multiple	140

*Stats include phone calls and physical mail

Topic	Date	Source	Comment
ETOD	4/18/2024	Call	<p>Hi, this is [REDACTED]. I am calling to get some more information about the notice that I received that appears to say that I could be losing my property, that's off of South. Congress so I I have been poking around to look at more information as to when the timing of this might be how it works this City appropriate Clara as far as compensation, it would be really helpful to have some that stuff available when you guys send out a notice like this it's a bit. Sorry. I would appreciate a call back. So I can have a few questions answered. I'm at area code [REDACTED]. I'm [REDACTED] can thank you bye.</p>
ETOD	4/18/2024	Email	<p>Hello,</p> <p>Could you please tell me what the proposed zoning would be as compared to the existing zoning for [REDACTED] Thank you!</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>
General/Multiple	4/18/2024	Call	<p>Hey, there it's possible. I would like a call back about the notice we got about the changes to the land development code could you please call me at [REDACTED] and my name is [REDACTED]. Thank you very much.</p>
HOME 2	4/18/2024	Public Input	<p>1. When I purchased my property I had every expectation that all the properties around my home would be single story homes. I built a privacy fence for privacy from other homes and the street(corner lot). If you change the rules years later what is to protect my privacy from other residents that will inhabit two or three story homes on lots next to mine a mere 10 feet away?</p> <p>2. With the density of a home on 2000 sqft lots around my property how will water runoff from those properties affect my property?</p> <p>3. Will my insurance increase because of the fire danger due to those properties being so close to mine? Being two or three stories tall when they collapse they will fall over the 10 ft barrier between my property and theirs.</p> <p>4. How will parking along my street(already completely full) be affected? People on 8000 sqft lots are already parking their vehicles in their yards.</p> <p>I would really appreciate responses to these questions. I think they are reasonable questions and should be answered</p>

HOME 2	4/18/2024 Call	<p>Hello, my name is [REDACTED] and I've already protested any changes to my property and zoning as well as what you guys are doing with the home initiatives. My name is [REDACTED]. My husband's name [REDACTED]. We live at [REDACTED]. We propose we we are against these proposed changes because it's just going to devastate the neighbourhood we've lived there since 2010 and we've seen many changes the last one was when it went from 46 and occupancy and it just got to stay to the neighbourhood instance that you ended up having a lot of people who are not owners living on the property and not also regulating and [REDACTED] are carrying and just being driven by motivation by money and not necessarily about anything else like safety or or help or anything and they are very difficult to talk to because of course their profits. So they don't want to hear anything about except for you know the you no money coming in their way. So it's very difficult to deal with them is any is sues or problems arise or any concerns for that matter. Even if you smell any gas or anything or there was coming apart or anything. It's really next impossible to get a hold of the homeowner because they're not really concerned about what's going on at their property. They just want the money. So anyways time. Thank you bye.</p>
HOME 2	4/18/2024 Call	<p>Hi, my name is [REDACTED]. My number is [REDACTED] was hoping somebody can answer a question about the home to amendment and my question is whether or not this ordinance supersedes any neighbourhood plans or N C C D documents. I appreciate a call. [REDACTED]. Thank you.</p>
ETOD	4/18/2024 Call	<p>Hi, my name is [REDACTED] and I received something in the mail case number C as in cat, 20, dash 2023 dash 004. I'd like to get some information it was so they might not be able to use my commercial building as it was intended. If you can call me back [REDACTED]. It's a notice of public hearing for amendment to land development code and rezoning. Thank you. I'd like to find out what this is all about and how it affects me by.</p>
HOME 2	4/18/2024 Call	<p>Hi, my name is [REDACTED] and I'm a homeowner in Austin and I had a question about the O and phase to play and I searched on the website and in public notice that I got and I found Andrew Rivera. I'm listed as a staff member so I'm this is the number that I called which was [REDACTED]. I'd appreciate a call back my number is [REDACTED] again. My name is [REDACTED]. Thank you.</p>
HOME 2	4/18/2024 Call	<p>Hi, Mr. Shelton my name is [REDACTED] called. I was all prepared to come down to the public library Central library because I got a public know that I got a notice in the mail about open house and discussion about peoples locks being used perhaps for a second house. Anyway, I noticed I. Have correct? I scheduled my ride with Capital Metro access and called the library and they didn't know anything regarding wanting to do have anything listed. So needless to say, I'm disappointed. I wish something else could have gone out to notify people who were planning on going to that open house. Anyway, my number is [REDACTED] what that's worth but by.</p>

Hello Paul,

I'm inquiring about the applicability of the visitability requirement for a proposed two-unit residential use. Section R320.1 specifies that these requirements pertain solely to new construction of single-family or duplex dwellings. I couldn't find any information in the adopted ordinance addressing this matter. Your assistance on this would be greatly appreciated. Thank you in advance!

image.png

HOME 2 4/19/2024 Email

ETOD 4/17/2024 Call

Yeah. Hi, my name is [REDACTED] have a question about the E T O D overlay please call me back a few weeks ago. My number is [REDACTED].

General/Multiple 4/19/2024 Call

Hi, there. My name is [REDACTED] I have just have a question regarding the most of these changes the City of Austin, we have a property on [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I'd love to understand what the ramifications might be for that building. Please give me a call back at [REDACTED]. Again. My name is [REDACTED] Thank you bye bye.

Good morning!

I'm currently consulting for an EV charging station developer, and we'd like to "color within the lines" in terms of Austin zoning.

Keeping in mind it hasn't been approved yet, would you mind sending me the shapefiles or any live GIS link for the ordinance amendment review sheet at

<https://services.austintexas.gov/edims/document.cfm?id=425942> ?

We're contemplating a parcel in the "small site" qualification and it is just hard for me to see on the orange vs. yellow.

Many thanks,

EV Charging 4/19/2024 Email

Dear Ms Middleton-Pratt,

I am writing as [REDACTED] Mothers' Milk Bank at Austin, the world's largest nonprofit donor milk bank. From our location in Austin, we screen mothers with breastmilk to donate, process that milk to make it safe for vulnerable babies, and dispense the milk to neonatal intensive care units (NICUs), and babies in the communities of Texas and 22 other states. Located at [REDACTED], this 29,000 square-foot building is our administrative and food processing facility. Similar to a blood bank, a milk bank has responsibility for saving lives. Last year, we dispensed nearly one million ounces of life-saving milk to 165 hospitals and nearly 2,000 babies outside of hospitals.

We support the Equitable Transit-Oriented Development Project, but cannot support any risk to our ability to function at this location. Rezoning that removes us from this location would have a potentially fatal impact on thousands of preterm and other fragile infants. Please advise on how we can ensure that our vision for this project will not interfere with the mission-oriented work of Mothers' Milk Bank at Austin.

My direct office line is [REDACTED]; my cell phone number is [REDACTED].
Sincerely, [REDACTED]

--

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ETOD	4/19/2024 Email	Member, Human Milk Banking Association of North America
General/Multiple	4/19/2024 Call	Hi, my name is [REDACTED] and my phone number is [REDACTED]. Okay. Thank you, bye.
General/Multiple	4/19/2024 Public Input	How are new height increase recommendations taking into account resulting shade from taller structures on the adjoining properties, especially residential yards with gardens, solar roof panels, etc.? Thank you
HOME 2	4/19/2024 Public Input	Incorporate small lot amnesty (aka legacy small lots) into HOME. Right now they need to be split before being built on.
ETOD	4/19/2024 Public Input	I am writing as [REDACTED] Mothers' Milk Bank at Austin, the world's largest nonprofit donor milk bank. From our location in Austin, we screen mothers with breastmilk to donate, process that milk to make it safe for vulnerable babies, and dispense the milk to neonatal intensive care units (NICUs), and babies in the communities of Texas and 22 other states. Located at [REDACTED], this 29,000 square-foot building is our administrative and food processing facility. Similar to a blood bank, a milk bank has responsibility for saving lives. Last year, we dispensed nearly one million ounces of life-saving milk to 165 hospitals and nearly 2,000 babies outside of hospitals. We support the Equitable Transit-Oriented Development Project, but cannot support any risk to our ability to function at this location. Will we be allowed to continue our lifesaving work at this location?

ETOD	4/20/2024	Public Input	I am excited by these changes, but I think we can do even better. One concern listed is gentrification, which is understandable. Austin is one of the few cities that has been able to reduce rent after the COVID build-up, which I am very happy about. I would attribute this mainly to our ability to build. I see so many cranes downtown and many new developments all over the city, and I heartily welcome that. That being said, we could do even better. In particular, I think that the height restrictions are not productive. Height restrictions lead to land waste. One 50-story building on the East side, for example, could take the place of ten 5-story buildings. And by building more capacity in areas that might become gentrified, we're able to drive prices down and keep residents in their homes.
ETOD	4/20/2024	Public Input	We are currently zoned NO-MU-V-NP . FROM THE MAILOUT LOOKS LIKE CHANGING TPNO-MU-ETOD-DBETOD-NP [REDACTED] . IS IT CHANGING OR MIGHT COUNCIL OVERLAY AND WHAT DOES IT MEAN FOR OUR PROPERTY?
General/Multiple	4/20/2024	Public Input	Since Austin is already ranked #1 in the U.S. in multifamily construction (Austin has increased , counting 61,000+ units) over Dallas and NYC and ten other populations larger than Austin, it is time for Austin to consider a faster planning method for increased MF zoning that has been standard practice by other major cities in the U.S. for more than 100 years. This means allowing MF on the already extant zoned commercial property on large traffic corridors on central N/S corridors (Airport/Lamar/Guadalupe/Burnet/Red River/ and E/W corridors like, 51st, North Loop, Hancock to add MF housing first on these major transit corridors before trying to artificially create new transit corridors in neighborhoods that do not have street width, traffic controls, and road development for added traffic. Use the \$65M of federal subsidies for rapid transit on the extant commercial corridors instead of creating the enormous expense of new traffic corridors. Thanks
General/Multiple	4/20/2024	Public Input	When my embassy office hosted a City of Austin development group in Toronto in 2014, I showed the group how Toronto had developed MF housing on commercial streets in central Toronto by the U.of Toronto as well in nearby 100-year neighborhoods on streets with commercial zoning. Thanks
HOME 2	4/20/2024	Public Input	I can't access the Zoom registration link on this page. The link is not clickable. I would like to join the virtual open house.
HOME 2	4/20/2024	Public Input	I support this. Long overdue.
HOME 2	4/20/2024	Public Input	I support these changes
ETOD	4/20/2024	Public Input	I support this
EV Charging	4/20/2024	Public Input	I support this.
Compatibility	4/20/2024	Public Input	I support this
Compatibility	4/22/2024	Email	Hello, I received a public notice about a rental property I own located at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] but have been unable to attend the in-person or virtual meeting last week or this week. I don't suppose there's a recording of prior meetings or, ideally, an available summary of the "height, building placement and other related regulations / Compatibility Standards" that are being proposed? Thanks a lot. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Hi there,

I was looking more closely at the draft compatibility ordinance and it references 25-8-700 (minimum requirements for a compatibility buffer), but I cannot for the life of me find that section in Municode. Are you able to send that section of code to me so I can cross-reference it with the remainder of the draft ordinance?

Thank you!

Compatibility

4/22/2024 Email

Commissioners,

The ECC Neighborhood is excited to support the proposed changes to residential compatibility regulations that the PC will be considering this week. We feel these modifications will allow developers to create much more housing and help create the compact and connected communities envisioned in Imagine Austin.

We do feel that that as denser and more intense development occurs closer to existing single-family homes, there are several tweaks to the code that should be considered to improve the quality of life for existing residents. We have documented these in the attached document.

Thank you for considering our proposals and thank you for your service,

Compatibility

4/22/2024 Email

Yes. Hello, Mister wallin. This is [REDACTED] and I have a question concerning the meeting tomorrow, the planning commission meeting would you please call me about that. I'd appreciate that [REDACTED] thank you.

General/Multiple

4/22/2024 Call

This is [REDACTED] and more I want to find out how to get our name taken off the mailing list. Our property was sold in August of 22. Our number is [REDACTED]. Thank you.

General/Multiple

4/22/2024 Call

.....to the current Land Development Code updates, case #: C20-2023-004. I object to and vehemently oppose the updated land development code changes.

I am a native Austinite, born in the old Seton hospital in 1953. I do not want the neighborhoods of this city to become overcrowded, tenement areas where there is no parking on either side of the street, even parking on front yards (which will happen and is against the city ordinance), people living on top of people in the name of more affordable housing.

People who have lived in Austin for > 5 years and works at a job that is needed in the community (teacher-related, nursing-related, childcare-related, etc) should get help with a housing subsidy from the city. All the others can go live somewhere else.

I lived here when Austin was a nice city to live in. Now it's just crappy.

Vote: NO to C20-2023-004

ETOD

4/22/2024 Email

ECC Land Use Chair. These recommendations were based on the previous compatibility code and I would like to address how these apply to the current draft language.

A primary concern with the Compatibility rewrite is the applicability of design standards and screening requirements where a public alley is between residential property and commercial development. In East Austin the vast majority of residential properties impacted by commercial development have shared public alleys, and with the HOME Initiative these alleyways will become the front doors to many of our residents. Below is an overview of our concerns with the current code language and how it applies in East Austin:

25-2-1063 requires a shared property line with a triggering property, which exempts commercial development from complying where there is an alleyway even though the commercial building is only 25' away and without the benefit of any buffer zone.

Our request is language be added to apply these standards across public alleys. We recommend not using a distance, as developers will just widen the alley to create a loophole so that standards will not apply.

25-2-1063(E) requires screening views only from the property line. The reality is this does not result in any screening of rooftop mechanical equipment. The project at 1515 East Cesar Chavez meets this screening requirement, but mechanical equipment is visible from over 90% of the adjacent residential property.

If the intent is to actually screen rooftop mechanical equipment our request is to modify the code so that equipment will be screened from either the entire residential property, or by specifying a distance similar to a typical right-of-way to be similar to Subchapter E screening requirements. This distance could be 75

Compatibility

4/22/2024 Email

General/Multiple

4/22/2024 Public Input

I wish to speak at the Planning Commission meeting at 4pm tomorrow. Website won't let me register as a speaker [REDACTED]

I am strongly opposed to the HOME initiative as it is currently written. Zo Qadri has not responded to my letters, and he has cancelled meetings with the Hyde Park Neighborhood Association so I get no chance to tell him my opinion in person. He clearly has his mind made up. The Mayor ran on promise to find compromise on difficult issues, but he has also already taken a side, with developers and folks who believe that they know what is best for everyone else. The quality of my life and the value of my property will decrease if/when you implement the HOME 2 initiative, which is unfair to me. Just because there are many voters who want lower rent does not mean that you should densify my neighborhood. I worked my entire life to save enough money to buy and upgrade the home that I have, so leave my neighborhood alone. There are other solutions which are fair to ALL residents of Austin; find them, that is your job!

HOME 2

4/22/2024 Public Input

Please consider REMOVING the Hill Country Roadway, Southwest Parkway, from the list of applicable Highways for the new EV Station 'Land Use' and consider all others for conditional use.

While SW PKWY is necessarily autocentric one must consider the intense MF and SF housing in the area and total impact upon the grid in this area and possibly human health.

As a well populated roadway with residents concentrated in the CoA portion of this short Highway, exclusion should be considered until both grid timing issues and unknown additional health concerns can be resolved to avoid grid failure and avoid any possible electromagnetic interference.

Despite acknowledging the importance of supporting efforts to reduce carbon emissions everywhere adding EV stations along this short roadway should not be assumed adversely inconsequential. This is not a commercial setting. Finally, in other areas, AE should be required to evaluate electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) issues that fall beyond the IEC-62196-2 standard.

This category should be limited to CONDITIONAL APPROVAL status in all areas due to environmental factors relative to type of EV station.as well as grid capacity...

Thank you,

EV Charging

4/22/2024 Email

Building more units on a single lot doesn't make home ownership more affordable. It invites and frankly incentivizes more developers and speculators to the market. They would love to put more units on lots for short term rentals. If you want to increase affordability you need to eliminate the developers, speculators and short term rentals from the market.

HOME 2

4/23/2024 Public Input

This is [REDACTED] I tried all day yesterday and this morning to sign up to speak at the planning commission hearing today on the land development code, please contact me. So I can sign up to be a speaker. This afternoon [REDACTED], that's [REDACTED]

General

4/23/2024 Call

		Attached is my response to the C20-2023-004 zoning change request. Thank you.
ETOD	4/23/2024 Email	*Attached Image: "I support this zoning request."
General/Multiple	4/23/2024 Call	Hi, could someone please call [REDACTED]. Thank you.
		Dear Council Members, Mayor Pro-Tem, Mayor, Planning Commission Members, and City Staff, Attached is a letter from Old Austin Neighborhood Association regarding Case Number C20-2023-004. Twelve of thirteen board members were available for a vote. All twelve voted in favor of the letter, with the caveat that two of the twelve voting board members did not support the letter's position regarding horizontal parking floors. Thank you, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
ETOD	4/23/2024 Email	[REDACTED]
HOME 2	4/23/2024 Public Input	We are 100% against this. This will ruin this city forever. It will not make for more affordable housing. There is proof all around the country this does not work. Vote NO!
HOME 2	4/23/2024 Public Input	STOP H.O.M.E. 2- REPEAL H.O.M.E. 1
		I have been an Austin resident for 14 years. I am deeply troubled and disturbed by city council's HOME Phase 2 proposal. I treasure my peaceful home, neighborhood, and back yard and do not want to live next to a condo building or apartment building. I do not want to live in a community that doesn't care about or respect neighborhoods, working families, and peaceful communities. I do not want to live in a city that deregulates the housing market, is okay with rapidly increasing property taxes for working families, and is okay with continually unnecessarily degrading our environment to line the pockets of developers for projects constituents don't want or need. We constituents have been loud and clear since the beginning: WE DO NOT APPROVE OF "H.O.M.E.". Please listen to us and stop this. Thank you. [REDACTED]
HOME 2	4/23/2024 Public Input	[REDACTED]
		I oppose the HOME Amendments. Please stop trying to destroy single-family housing in Austin. We do not want up to three homes on a single-family lot, nor do we want a reduction in the minimum lot size.
HOME 2	4/23/2024 Public Input	Please register my dissent. Thank you.
		Please see attached. *Attached PDF: I oppose the the proposed zoning changes under ETOD and HOME 2. Such changes will negativley impact the Aldridge Place Historical District and ignore our North University Neighborhood Associations Neighborhood Conservation Combined District Neighborhood Plan [NCCD-NP]
General/Multiple	4/23/2024 Email	[REDACTED]

HOME 2	4/24/2024 Public Input	<p>PLEASE!!!! Think outside of the box and read this article. You take the word of a CA developer who is not interested in the citizens of Austin, but making his pockets deeper. There are other ways than your plan of creating density. https://sfstandard.com/2024/04/09/alex-lee-leads-social-housing-california/ Another way to create affordable housing is to get/purchase those vacant office buildings and work with builders to renovate them into something people can live in and enjoy and continue to be productive members of society. You all are so closed minded when it comes to the housing crunch... AND you need to listen to the people who are crying out to you to NOT continue with this plan. Put it on hold and look into other options... Thank you for your time, [REDACTED]</p>
HOME 2	4/24/2024 Public Input	<p>The City Council should also look at reducing the minimum street frontage when subdividing a lot under the new minimum lot size. Most existing homes were built to be centered on a lot relative to its total width along the street it fronts on. This typically results in most homes only being 5 - 10 feet away from the side lot lines, which is not enough to meet the current front street requirements for subdividing a single-family lot even under a flag lot type scenario. This inconsistency will prevent the proposed HOME 2 ordinance from having a significant impact in established neighborhoods under the current development subdivision code.</p>
ETOD	4/24/2024 Public Input	<p>I am incredibly concerned about the impact this will have on property owners who do not take full "advantage" of their property. This isn't just about single family homes. In West Campus, where I work, the new height allowances will dramatically alter property values, driving out businesses and smaller entities. It will also make affordable housing that much more difficult to access. We have seen so many forced out by rising property taxes already, and this plan does not seem to contemplate any mechanism for side-stepping that burden. I am additionally concerned about our water supply. As the city grows, we need more water. However, these new zoning regulations will allow for far more impervious cover, ensuring that less and less of the water that falls on our city will end up in the aquifer, to replenish what we draw out.</p>
HOME 2	4/24/2024 Public Input	<p>Please do NOT reduce the size of single family homes. If we wanted to be right next to someone we would have not bought a home, but chosen to live in an apartment.</p>
HOME 2	4/24/2024 Public Input	<p>At least for lots zoned SF-3 a square foot range should be considered for 2 dwellings to built for lots under 5750 sqft. and with alley access. This strategy would assist with offering owner another option for building a tax relief strategy.</p>

I hope you are well.

I am trying to make sense out of all the zoning changes for our neighbors.

I have heard that some staff want to abolish the NCCDs so I am wondering how the overlays will work.

NCCD overrides the base code, but where does ETOD come in? It appears to add 60' of height to all commercial and MF properties in HP. Is this true? Or is the ETOD still overridden by the NCCD?

At some point we heard that HOME and these other things will not override NCCDs and HDs.

Can you enlighten us?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ETOD

4/10/2024 Email

Thank you for this reply.

So I think this sets up a program where 90' of height may be allowed in the LHD, but if the HLC refuses to grant that in a Certificate of Appropriateness and only allows 30' of height, an applicant can appeal to the city council and get that CoA thrown out so the 90' building can go up between houses on a block in the LHD. Is this correct?

If so, then why promote this height of buildings on (likely previously spot zoned MF lots) mid-block whether in an NCCD or LHD? It just sets up a controversy and takes up a lot of time and energy by the community to fight off inappropriate mid-block development.

Did any one actually look at where these lots exist? No one came to meet with folks in Hyde Park or North University to explain and discuss this.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ETOD

4/12/2024 Email

Warner,

It sounds like the City Council simply handed this to the planning department to carry out.

Did they ask your opinions?

Was any public process considered?

They certainly haven't engaged the public.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ETOD

4/24/2024 Email

Hi Jennifer,

Thank you so much for the response. We are working on more detailed insights into the sound ordinance and will be pulling together research around the health impacts of moderately loud noise, so will definitely look into this.

In the rooftop mechanical example I shared yesterday - with only one rooftop unit running on high - you can hear the project two blocks away, and the sound creates a hotspot in that backyard of 67 db due to the positioning of the equipment, but only measures 58db at the property line.

We did benchmark the 14 jurisdictions that staff studied for compatibility and found 2 of them match Austin's 70 db limit, 3 use a descriptive code, and the remaining 9 jurisdictions have residential specific levels with an average daytime level of 58 DB and nighttime of 55 DB, which would be an incredible improvement over 70 DB which is equivalent to a loud vacuum cleaner.

Once we have our report pulled together we'll be sharing it with Planning Commission and staff.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Compatibility

4/24/2024 Email

Hello, my name is Rita Thompson. I can be reached at [REDACTED] and I have a very specific question that our neighborhood is wanting answer. I'm with Castle Wood neighborhood Association and it is to ask and this resolution and home. If there is a limit on a short, term rentals and I look forward to hearing back from you [REDACTED]. I'm sorry. I tried to gather that information and I'm just having to.

HOME 2

4/25/2024 Call

General/Multiple

4/25/2024 Call

VOC12 result had no utterances

April 1, 2024
City of Austin
Planning Department
P.O. Box 1088
Austin Tx 78767

City of Austin,
Thank you for your notice of Public hearings about Land Development Code changes. This is to inform you that my name has since been changed as described in the below. It would be of more pertinent interest to me if you would update your records as requested. Thank you for your interest in the matter.

General/Multiple	4/1/2024 Mail	[REDACTED]
ETOD	4/7/2024 Mail	Me Opongo
ETOD	4/15/2024 Mail	I object
		<p>Lauren Middleton-Pratt, Director P.O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767</p> <p>Dear Ms. Middleton-Pratt:</p> <p>I live at [REDACTED], in the southeast quadrant of North University which is heavily impacted by the new proposed zoning. North University Neighborhood Association's (NUNA) arbitrary and restrictive development overlay from about 15 or so years ago reduced my previous MF4 zoning on my property to single family, and North University already restricts my ability to ever redevelop or densify my property. I can't tear down (NUNA won't allow it) and build for more occupants and I can't add an ADU.</p> <p>The changes proposed would be further points to my property. I'm still prohibited from densifying which I understand is what the city is hoping to actually accomplish. PLEASE do not make these changes without INCLUDING the tiny island of properties in the 3100 block of Walling Drive. These properties need the option to densify the same as the surrounding properties. I am against any zoning changes that are not INCLUSIVE of immediate neighbors and that surround small pockets of properties with NO options.</p> <p>DO NOT leave the tiny island UNABLE TO DENSIFY while surrounding it with more commercial development. This will destroy our property values along with being unfair to our unique situation. It would have devastating effects on this tiny enclave of a just a handful of properties which previously were designated as multifamily years ago and before individuals with NUNA (who did not own this</p>
ETOD	4/12/2024 Mail	
ETOD	4/6/2024 Mail	<p>This amendment destroys our city. Communities will be eliminated. Your rail plan is outrageously expensive and has become absurd. "Affordable Housing" is a cheap political game which will only benefit developers. Cheap housing in city core is myth in every city in the world. Don't destroy Austin for your political gain. Austin does not have the resources to push this density... water, electric law enforcement. City doesn't even have police to enforce laws anymore.</p>

ETOD	4/7/2024 Mail	I saw Council Member Leslie Pool with hands clenched into fists shouting "densify, densify, densify" and I knew bad things were going to happen. Shouldn't a person on the Austin City Council be protecting neighborhoods instead of destroying them? There seems to be more interest in people who may be moving to Austin than those who are living here.
ETOD	4/10/2024 Mail	This is a disaster for character of neighborhoods, traffic, tree canopies, utility infrastructure, etc.
ETOD	4/6/2024 Mail	I am in favor
ETOD	4/3/2024 Mail	The affect on the environment resources is/will be unacceptable. Excessive impervious coverage, tree drainage & removal H2O shortages, more cars, parking in the streets. NO!
ETOD	4/4/2024 Mail	I am for the increased development height and against the affordable housing. It's too complicated. I feel strongly that letting market forces decide rent prices is the best way.
HOME 2	4/26/2024 Call	Hi, I just wanted to confirm you had the proposal for the land use change is a minimum of 2000 square feet of lot with only one unit. However, now I understand that the planning commission has voted to reduce that to 1500 square feet is that what the proposal now is going to be and second is well. I guess third question is on phase one that was passed in a Feb. It was my understand. I was told you a couple of days ago by the land development department that it would allow three units. I knew three units per lot. However, if they were it was three of the if you do you can go up to six units. If they were affordable by federal standards and I just wanted I I thought it was up to for unit with one being affordable. Standards, so now it's up to six units that's what is an asset so you can have a lot with six units with three of them affordable. Please can call me back and confirm and also the current proposal that apparently keeps on changing my number. My name is [REDACTED] and my number is [REDACTED]. Thank you. Bye bye.
ETOD	4/26/2024 Call	Hi, this is [REDACTED] Hello. I'm calling about the proposed land development code change couple of properties in the area and that curious I need to desperately need to talk to someone about with those changes not be permitted. So please call as you as you can [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] also my daughter [REDACTED] wide it is a couple of properties and we would really appreciate some help understanding. I appreciate a call back [REDACTED] thanks so much.

HOME 2	<p>██████████ period. I wish the city and the council and the Mayor would stop this home initiative. It's a major mistake. It's gonna make the neighbourhood awful. It's gonna make Austin like a slum this got out over tax our Resources. There are any tax by water pressure is already low. There's already no parking the streets are filthy homeless people that have psychological problems. I left to run all loose in the neighbourhood. I've had the homeless guy that comes to my house several times already. I called the police on him at three o'clock in the morning at 12 o'clock at night and he is very scary and I'm not sure what he's planning on doing. He's been looking at my windows. He's been ringing my doorbell. He's been saying weird things and the city. We have a good handle any of that they need to help these people they need to put these people in areas where they can get help and don't let them run around the University area or around schools around people. These people have psychological problems and they need help. They don't need to be just left to their own devices on the street there causing an accident the city's letting people pan handle which are causing the accident on the highway and it's just dirt and debris and garbage all over the place and poop and and drugs and just all kinds of stuff and the city needs to stop bankruptcy and stop and handling and they need to stop this home initiatives. It just seems like Austin is going down. The ██████████ under the sort of. I don't know what you either want to call it because I haven't even call at guidance, I guess under you know, these elective officials are doing their job and they're doing everything to ruin. Austin so please stop the home initiatives and the proposed changes you're going to the land code. It's just absolutely corrupt and absolutely ridiculous. It's only gonna I've seen what happens when they upped the zoning from fo rtis from 426. I've seen the changes that were positive when they lowered it before and now we have no limits on occupancy and it's not safe it's not. Hi,</p>
HOME 2	<p>4/26/2024 Call</p> <p>I am 100% against Home Phase 2!!!!!! The infrastructure of Austin can't support this dense living. Where will all the cars for these small units be parked? The residents WILL have cars because our city has too many hills and the climate is too hot to ride bikes everywhere. In my neighborhood, you have to climb a very long and large hill to get even close to a bus stop. Please vote against this idea!!!!!!</p>
HOME 2	<p>We are opposed to the phase 2 home amendment. Evidence shows that it will do nothing to reduce housing costs. There is plenty of room to build housing developments without ruining the feel of our historic neighborhoods. This is simply a developers sweetheart deal packaged as an affordable housing bill.</p>
HOME 2	<p>4/26/2024 Public Input</p> <p>4/27/2024 Public Input</p> <p>For flag lots I believe a 10' "flag pole" width should suffice for vehicle/driveway access as well as non-driveway properties. A street traffic lane typically has 8' for all types of vehicle traffic. With reduced lot sizing and need in many neighborhoods to continue to accommodate off-street parking due to street congestion I believe the flag lot driveway access minimum width provision should be changed to 10' for single residential units and 15' for shared driveway access to multiple dwellings. If there is a compelling logic for 15' then please explain. But it seems arbitrary and will make subdivision excessively cumbersome to too many properties wishing to continue having off-street parking. Regards, ██████████</p>

HOME 2	4/27/2024 Public Input	<p>Correction to comment just submitted — typical public urban street lanes are 10' on average for all types of vehicles. Parking lanes are typically around 8'. Given that 10' is considered plenty for traversing and turning I feel that should be an acceptable minimum flag lot street access width for both driveway and non-driveway properties serving single residential units. For shared driveways and driveways serving multiple units, I believe the 15' minimum width for the "flag pole" is reasonable. Thanks much again, [REDACTED]</p>
HOME 2	4/29/2024 Public Input	<p>Hi, I do not like the proposed idea that we received on a purple postcard mailer a few months ago, and only had time to comment now. The idea was to allow up to THREE housing units on one lot, including tiny homes, rentals, etc. I think two is okay, but that three is excessive and too many. It would invite crime. I have seen too many low-rent spaces sharing the same space before and it led to an influx of people who lived under the radar, didn't pay a lot of bills that they had, and more trouble. Parts of town that have a high rental percentage in the houses also have more crime. Please say no to 3 housing units on one parcel. Thank you!</p>
ETOD	4/27/2024 Public Input	<p>Please post a list of the addresses of the over 1,000 properties you are rezoning. People may support sum of the upzoning and not all of the upzonings, and they could selectively petition.</p>
ETOD	4/27/2024 Public Input	<p>I oppose the ETOD Overlay because it allows 120 ft tall buildings next to existing single family housing without the protections of set backs that we curently have. We bought houses with single family zoning because we wanted the protection of that zoning....now council is changing the rules in the middle of the game at the request of developers who don't live in the targeted neighborhoods.</p>
Compatibility	4/27/2024 Public Input	<p>This change to compatibility will destroy the character of my Hyde Park neighborhood. Please desist!</p>
ETOD	4/26/2024 Email	<p>Good Evening,</p> <p>My name is [REDACTED]. I live at [REDACTED].</p> <p>I have attached a form related to Case Number: C20-2023-004. I am protesting the rezoning of my property for many reasons, including:</p> <p>the homogenization of the housing stock, flooding caused by increased impermeable cover, the loss of tree cover and green spaces that cool the environment at a time of increasingly hot temperatures.</p> <p>I request a formal individual hearing. Thank you.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>*Attached Handwritten: I oppose the ETOD and HOME 2 ordinances changing the zoning of my home. Juctas. I like variety in Austin residents. Theres variety in housing stock. older homes in many louge cities add to their character. I'm also concerned about floodway management. Iregreest aformalmdlviederal waving.</p>

Dear Anna,

Thank you for the links. I remain deeply concerned about compatibility standards.

Anna and LDC,

I have specific questions for you, which I am unable to answer from perusing the documents. I would appreciate specific determinations of the answers to the following questions. Please DO NOT just refer me to the posted documents; I want to know from you in writing the explicit answers to these questions.

My questions:

- 1) how tall could a new or expanded structure be on the 4200 block of Guadalupe, odd numbers?
- 2) how tall could a structure be that replaced or increased the heights of the apartments on the even side of the 4200 block of Avenue A?
- 3) how tall could a structure be that replaced or created the heights of houses on the even side of the 4200 block of Avenue A?
- 4) how tall could a structure be that replaced or increased the heights of houses on the odd side of the 4200 block of Avenue A?

Best Regards, and thanks,

Compatibility 4/27/2024 Email

[REDACTED]

*Attached: I don't object to density along corridors. But 3 hosue on 1 lot doesn't sound like single family zoning that existed when I purchased my house. Plus having 120 ft building next to a single family residence withouth setbacks is inappropriate.

ETOD 4/27/2024 Email

Can you please let me know when meetings are and also what gets discussed and decided at meetings.

General/Multiple 4/28/2024 Email

Thanks

Yes, this message is for miss Pratt and I'm calling my name is [REDACTED] and my phone number is [REDACTED] and I have gotten several letters and I've gotten a card pertaining to change and code of where I am living I live in apartment complex at the [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] and I'm trying to find out since this is an apartment complex if they are planning on moving something or building something here where I am it's awful like I said I it's 35 and breaker and I don't want to be caught trying to at the last minute find some place to move and I want to find out why I am getting these messages because I live in an apartment or they just want everybody in the code area that they are working on to be able to give some type of response. So again, my name is [REDACTED] and I would appreciate a call. Thank you.

General/Multiple 4/29/2024 Call

ETOD	4/29/2024 Call	<p>Hi, my name is [REDACTED] I live near saint Edwards within 500 feet of something that's going on for land development cold and rezoning. I'd like I have tried multiple people and let them make my name and stuff. They said that it's hundreds of people are affected so long line, but I got your number will you please call me back to explain what's going on I've been out of town and I see now the timing is. Imperative anyway, [REDACTED] I live right near saint Edwards on [REDACTED] on so if you would please call me back thank you.</p>
		<p>RE: Opposition to Most Recent (2024) Land Developmetn Code Proposed Changes</p> <p>To the City Council and Mayor:</p> <p>In view of how the first phase of H.O.M.E basically overturned single family neighborhood restricive covenants/deed restrictions, I believe it is likely only more of the same is Isated for implementation in phase two of H.O.M.E. Being the status of Project Connect is undetermined either connected to financing or final plans, it is presumptive to make designations of equity oriented or transit focused designations for more intense development along non-existent and only general concept rail routes. As to regulations being considered to allow properties to be used for charging electric vehicles, don't really have a clear opinion in regard to this subject, so consider me neutral on it.</p> <p>I hope there will at least be a re-examination of or even scrapping of these newest proposed development strategies to mitigate negative outcomes in the future linked to promised benefits of more affordable housing due to increased density (an argument I find specious on its face). Obviously, numerous communities/property owners in the ETJ of the City of Austin have serious rsrvations about the ongoing land use development regulations rewrite since they are seeking to be resleased from the ETJ of the City of Austin, and many recent arrivals are seeking housing outside of the City of Austin in an attempt to avoid dealing with what currently is in place or may be coming down the road relative to land devlopment regulations being implemented/contemplated by the city and to find something affordable. It was reported recently by the Austin-American Statesman that only 12 applications have been filed under H.O.M.E. during its first month of implementation, so fairly underwhelming.</p> <p>These new trends would give the impression the voters have spoken, but basically when they last spoke during deliberations over phase one of H.O.M.E,</p>
HOME 2	4/2/2024 Mail	
ETOD	4/29/2024 Email	I object
		I am fully opposed to this amendment to the land use code.
HOME 2	4/30/2024 Public Input	
General/Multiple	4/28/2024 Call	<p>Yes, good evening. My name is [REDACTED] I'm calling to request another one of the purple zoning planning card from the City of Austin and I never received on a couple of weeks ago, I spoken to Mark angler about this my phone number is [REDACTED] the post office told me to request another one from the City of Austin planning and zoning apartment. Thank you have a good day bye.</p>
General/Multiple	4/30/2024 Call	<p>Yes, I was calling about permits for my land. My name is [REDACTED] my phone number is [REDACTED]. Thank you.</p>
ETOD	4/30/2024 Call	<p>Hi, there. My name is [REDACTED] and I had received mail about the zoning changes and I just had two quick questions about that. If you can give me a call back at [REDACTED]. Thank you. And I appreciate a timely response. Thank you.</p>

Numerous community members have been speaking out during HOME phase 1 and the current phase 2, as well as other LDC amendments, about the need for anti-displacement protections. Why were anti-displacement funds included for Project Connect but not HOME when it is clear there is risk of displacement and gentrification?

We need to pass an Anti-Displacement/Equity protective overlay and deepen the affordability levels required when developers are given entitlements by density bonus programs. And, if the ETOD Overlay is truly about ensuring density in areas that are transit-accessible, we need to change the map areas to only include locations that are within an accessible half mile from the rail stops, not the rail line.

Thank you for your time,

[REDACTED]

General/Multiple 4/29/2024 Email

--

Please find below my objection to the ETOD and HOME 2 ordinances.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

*Attached Handwritten ETOD Comment:

I object to the ETOD and HOME 2 ordinances. The proposed zoning changes are reminiscent of the spot zoning that took place in my neighborhood in the 1960s, though the proposed zoning changes are more egregious. The increased height and density requirements of the proposed zoning have given no reasonable thought or attention to my neighborhood. The light rail solution has been poorly thought out. Better walking and biking trails are what is needed. And what about considerations for green space? There are too many questions concerning the proposed zoning that have not received adequate answers. What thought and concern has been given to those of us who make our homes in the NUNA neighborhood and have contributed to preserving an often ignored part of Austin's history.

General/Multiple 4/30/2024 Email

		Sent for [REDACTED]
		Thank you for considering my objections. [REDACTED]
		*Attached Handwritten Comment Letter: I object because 1/ it does not follow current master plan 2/ appears not to follow state land laws 3/ does not address long term water shortages 4/ does not address cost of living for current home owners 5/ does not seriously address affordable homes.
ETOD	4/30/2024 Email	
		I do not agree with the Phase 1 that was adopted in December 2023. I also do not agree with Phase 2. We are already seeing corporations buy houses in our area. I can see the density already being created in our neighborhoods with single family homes being torn down and multiple houses build on the same lot. Our schools, utilities, roads etc. cannot handle this density.
HOME 2	4/30/2024 Public Input	
		*Handwritten Comment: This is very poor city planning. It will only benefit speculators & billionaires. It will destroy affordable neighborhoods. It increased impervious cover will cause more smog & localized flooding. It will displace people of color & those in affordable houses.
ETOD	5/1/2024 Mail	
		*Handwritten Comment: Austin is limited in "affordable" housing – This project will displace so many people in the working class. Additionally, many businesses that are local will be destroyed and displaced. Let's focus on improving the bus system before even starting a light rail.
ETOD	4/5/2024 Mail	
ETOD	4/21/2024 Mail	I object
ETOD	4/21/2024 Mail	I object

Please give this letter to the City Council, City Manager, City Planner and their staff and anyone else who is involved in this case, so that they can consider my input on this important decision for the city.

Thank you so much,

Dear City Managers and City Council,

I am [REDACTED] I have owned a single family home at [REDACTED] for over 30 years. And I am opposed to these changes because they will negatively affect the livability and value of my property. But, if you must institute the changes... I have a suggestion in the interest of fairness.

This change will dramatically affect the livability and desirability of adjacent SF1 properties. A 120' tower next door to a family home is not desirable for many, many reasons. That is why we have compatibility standards.

The compatibility standards that protect property owners from incompatible buildings should be kept intact.

But... if you increase the heights allowable next to single family homes, you should allow properties adjacent to these incompatible new buildings, also be allowed reasonable increases in height and density. This would offset some of the lost of value caused by incompatible buildings. It would also allow there to be a smoother transition from the 120ft tall tower into the neighborhood. It would also further your goal of densifying Austin.

Thank you for your consideration of my idea,

Lets keep making Austin Awesome for everyone!

Please feel free to call to discuss.

ETOD

5/1/2024 Mail

My wife and I have a property that is zoned SF2 and these changes are exactly what we need. Case in point, we reached out to a consulting firm to inquire about rezoning our property because we wanted to build affordable housing to rent. The consulting firm wanted 80,000 dollars to help us rezone. We can't afford that so for 4 years we've been unable to develop our land. With the new changes we are in the process of building our permanent home now and we'll be building additional homes to rent at affordable prices. These homes will have parking, yards, privacy and independence. A lot size change would be even more welcome.

HOME 2

5/1/2024 Public Input

I oppose adoption of the HOME amendments, their stated goals, and any rezoning of my homestead property.

HOME 2

5/1/2024 Public Input

Hi, my name is [REDACTED] and I work for the City of George town in the planning department and we saw one of those postcards that you sent out as public notice for some updates here development code we're working through an update on our unified development code right now and we're we're looking into how we're going to approach notices for that. So was hoping I might be able to get in touch with somebody and just talk a little bit about the methodology all used for sending these notices out again, my name is [REDACTED] with the City of George town planning department you can call me back at [REDACTED] or send me an email at [REDACTED]. Thank you.

General/Multiple

5/1/2024 Call

The streets that have no sidewalks are already difficult to walk especially with cars on both sides of the street. How will Emergency services and Fire trucks get through these streets when there overwhelmed with parked cars that do not have enough parking in these small unit built homes?

HOME 2

5/1/2024 Public Input

		<p>you must be completely out of your mind and throwing all of us into a hole with no end. 1) there will be millions of more cars, on top of the endless amounts already now. instead of building more houses, build more infrastructure, with the taxpayers money! Expand roads, build parks, plant trees! this "city" is becoming a dense, busy, overcrowded trash bag 2) you will increase the number of illegal airbnbs on top of the thousands with no permit, meaning more dumb college kids flying in for bachelor parties 3) people will move out even further. People do not like people in the USA" and after many years we can understand the reasons. so they want to stay away from them. you bring more people per unit of sqfoot? They will go further out and there you go, millions of pick up truck 5.2 V12 liters flowing into the city and out EVERY SINGLE DAY, welcome Chinese pollution! This city is becoming a joke of itself.. be Ashamed,with a big A</p>
HOME 2	5/1/2024 Public Input	
		<p>Attached in my paperwork objecting to the proposed zoning change related to case number C20-2023-004.</p> <p>*Attached Handwritten Comment: This looks like another excuse to support developers w/ little evidence that it will help to address that housing crisis. The fee-in-lieu option should be excluded to ensure affordable housing is built near the light rail. Better monitoring + enforcement + better data collection are needed to confirm the program is working. The affordability requirements do not lock strong design + could easily lead to increased rents as existing housing would be removed + replaced w/ new/expensive buildings lead to more displacement + gentrification. Very unlikely that this program respect impervious cover limits leading to more enviromental units will have affordable utility bills. No green space requirements to ensure a livable neighborhood. The 1st for the light rail should be to the airport.</p>
ETOD	5/2/2024 Email	
General/Multiple	5/2/2024 Call	Yes, second request. This is [REDACTED]. My number is [REDACTED]
HOME 2	5/2/2024 Public Input	When will the lot size requirements for multiple dwellings be dropped? do we have an anticipated date?
ETOD	5/2/2024 Public Input	Is it possible to get a copy of the arcgis layers for your mapping tool? We're trying to plan commercial development.
		<p>Thank you kindly for listening to your constituents and passing HOME. It has given my family the opportunity to plan for my mother's retirement and for her to be able to live in her own space/MIL Suite while our family lives in the main house. There is no other way we would have been able to afford this in Austin's rising housing cost and our medium income level household. Until she moves in, we are able to make passive income to counteract the high property taxes and insurance costs that just seem to keep rising. Thank you again for putting the people forward.</p>
HOME 2	5/2/2024 Public Input	
		<p>This message is for Lauren Middleton Pratt. It's about the planning commission and City council code amendment and re zoning. I don't know property on [REDACTED] [REDACTED] just wanted to see if that has been resolved or what all these letters me moment. My number is [REDACTED]. Thank you.</p>
ETOD	5/2/2024 Call	

General/Multiple	5/3/2024	Public Input	<p>What are you doing to address increased traffic? While I fully support the light rail, it is currently estimated to complete in 2033-nearly TEN YEARS from now. That means we will be dealing with increased car traffic on roads that are already over capacity. South 1st St in particular is already unmanageable. It is highly dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists given there is NO bike lane and only a very narrow sidewalk right next to a high traffic road. There are important through roads in my neighborhood that do not even have continuous sidewalks, forcing pedestrians to cross the streets at unmarked spots. And sadly, the service for the bus that goes through our neighborhood only runs as a commuter bus, meaning it is rarely available as an option. This leaves many residents without reasonable public transportation options. I am not in favor of these changes until we see real, immediate solutions that promote alternate forms of transportation that are required to make density work.</p>
General/Multiple	5/3/2024	Call	<p>Good morning, my name is [REDACTED]. I was having trouble locating, how you sign up to speak at your next meeting the line to bill me. I sure would like to be with her speaking heard. I'm at [REDACTED] going if you could just email me or text me or assist me in signing up this way I could submit whether I could submit but I wanted to submit. Thank you look forward to hearing back from you. I tried contacting y'all last time go ahead of me. I never never got back to me thank you so much look forward to hearing back from you.</p>
Compatibility	5/3/2024	Public Input	<p>Please confirm that a proposed project that is presently zoned GO will have to request a zoning change and that request can be protested and that a "Valid Petition" can be filed as part of the protest</p>
Compatibility	5/3/2024	Public Input	<p>Multi-story structures that will shade over neighboring gardens should be subject to review and approval.</p>
ETOD	5/3/2024	Public Input	<p>Please ban drive thru establishments from the ETOD</p>
ETOD	5/4/2024	Public Input	<p>This is a fantastic example of a much needed change from a far sighted Planning Dept. that is truly dedicated to maximizing a return on taxpayer funded investments. The linkage of future multifamily development to Austin's public transportation system, affordable housing and reducing city pollution is just brilliant. Well done we hope this inspirational amendment is passed</p>
HOME 2	5/6/2024	Public Input	<p>Impervious cover appears to no longer be a consideration for the City of Austin as it moves forward with expansion without thought. Properties throughout this city will be damaged as a result of this money motivated decision. If you want more funding for the rail, vote on it...don't destroy our neighborhoods and land use by such a poor decision...</p>
EV Charging	5/6/2024	Public Input	<p>While the idea of an electric vehicle is a good one, Austin is trying to move far to quickly on this venture. I am against this idea since the USA cannot provide safe storage for depleted electric vehicle batteries, and our environment will suffer greatly. The extraction methods for lithium and cobalt is energy intensive and it leads to air and water pollution, land degradation and groundwater contamination. More importantly, the disposal of the lithium-ion battery packs that are extremely expensive, are toxic, flammable, and contain materials that pose health and environmental challenges to our future.</p>
Compatibility	5/6/2024	Public Input	<p>Let's require impervious cover requirements....you will destroy inner city because of your reckless zoning changes.</p>

General/Multiple	5/6/2024 Call	See when will be a new number for our member that single one of them up at a data sync will also be up to you to set the to say see if to see it the way the letter Saturday amount of time that the input.
EV Charging	5/6/2024 Public Input	I'm sure you are aware Tesla just let go most of the staff that supports and installs the supper chargers. These chargers support their new Cyber Truck along with other products of theirs.
ETOD	5/6/2024 Public Input	Comment re: ETOD overlay: This proposal would allow for 10 story buildings to be built too close to single family residences. The maximum building height for any lots adjacent to lots zoned for single-family housing should be limited to no more than 5 stories. I am directly impacted by this proposed zoning change and have stayed in my home for 34 years because I am happy with the character of the neighborhood. Please reconsider this part of the ETOD zoning change.
ETOD	4/22/2024 Mail	Attached Handwritten Comment: #1 This property is not ½ mile from rail. #2 There is no current funding for Light Rail – shouldn't you get that before making change? #3 This Light Rail scheme is being litigated, should' you see if it is legal before making change? #4 You are using a railine that isn't in the plan – it's a "possible" extension. Shouldn't it be funded and approved before considering it as a boundary? Project connect is uncertain – it should be approved and funded before impacting people's livelyhood. This is Premateur! Get ready for litigation.
ETOD	4/7/2024 Mail	I am in Favor
ETOD	4/7/2024 Mail	I am in Favor
ETOD	4/7/2024 Mail	I am in Favor
ETOD	4/7/2024 Mail	I am in Favor
ETOD	4/24/2024 Mail	Attached Handwritten Comment: I am concerned that the COA is ramming this initiative through with inadequate public hearings (e.g. I have toan) No city official that can/will answer Q's about the status of historical neighborhoods along speedway! I see no audience of city planning for infrastructure growth in density (i.e. sewage, electricity, water resources).
ETOD	4/22/2024 Mail	Attached Handwritten Comment: I oppose the ETOD and HOME 2 ordinances chaning my property's zoning from MF-I-H-NCCD-NP to MF-I-H-ETOD-DBETOD-NCCD-NP. Increasing height and density of my and surrounding properties ignores the vision of the North University Neighborhood NCCD and will destroy our neighborhood. I request a formal individual hearing for my individual property, not two minutes of speaking time in a large public hearing.
ETOD	4/10/2024 Mail	Attached Handwritten Comment: Our association is strongly against this, and we believe these changes are against the will of the majority of the areas it is proposed for. City Hall is ignoring the people.
ETOD	4/25/2024 Mail	Attached Handwritten Comment: Hello, Very concerned about existing TX concern for water, and electricity and parking in Austin (all already a problem)! And humans + children need GREEN SPACES – not a concrete only city! Thanks you [REDACTED]

ETOD	4/5/2024 Mail	<p>Attached Handwritten Comment: Hey! I have an acre I'd love to build some housong on! I can't build much currently so I'd love the height increase. Not a fan of the affordable housing though. I feel like more housing = more affordability so don't regulate it! I have a full acre located between UT and Houses. Anything you can do to allow me to build more will be appreciated. Not a fan of affordable housing restriction. Tried that before and seems like a big bureaucrat mess!</p>
ETOD	4/29/2024 Mail	<p>Attached Handwritten Comment: I oppose the ETOD and HOME 2 ordinance changing my property's zoning from MF-I-H-NCCD- to MF-I-H-ETOD-DBETOD-NP. Increasing height + density of me and surrouding properties ignores the vission of the N. Univesity Neighborhood and will destroy our neighborhood. I request a formal individual hearing for my individual property, not 2 minutes of speaking time in a large public hearing.</p>
EV Charging	5/7/2024 Public Input	<p>████████████████████ May 7, 2024 Austin City Council City Hall, 301 W. 2nd Street Austin, TX 78701 Re: Comments on the City of Austin Electric Vehicle Charging Code Amendment Dear Mayor Watson and City Council Members, Voltera appreciates the work that you and City staff have put into supporting and developing the Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Code Amendment. We thank you for taking up this Amendment and considering our recommendations to strengthen the linkage between the draft code and the City's electrification goals. About Voltera Voltera sites, builds, owns, and operates charging facilities to enable electric vehicle (EV) deployment and operation at scale. Voltera's customers and customer segments are diverse, including fleets and branded charging networks, and our business strategy seeks to accelerate the transition to zero emission vehicles (ZEVs) by addressing infrastructure challenges inhibiting the adoption of transportation electrification. Recommendations Related to Code Amendment Language We encourage the City to incorporate the following recommendations in the code which establish more flexibility for accommodating the needs of fleets and the level of charging density necessary to achieve the City's electrification goals. Voltera provides infrastructure to all types of electric vehicles, including fleets that operate vans, trucks, and buses. Considering the draft code amendment through the lens of private and public fleets, particularly larger fleets or fleets of larger vehicles is helpful to recognize the unintentionally low ceiling associated</p>
HOME 2	5/7/2024 Public Input	<p>Has there been an analyze of equity impact? What is the city doing address gentrification for older Austinites of color who will see tax increases?</p>
Compatibility	5/8/2024 Public Input	<p>Residential PUDs should trigger compatibility</p>
General/Multiple	5/7/2024 Call	<p>Hello, my name is ██████████ I live in the Oak Hill area and I'm calling about my property my phone number is ██████████. Thank you very much. Good bye.</p>

ETOD	4/29/2024 Mail	<p>Attached Handwritten Comment:</p> <p>We object to the density allowed on ave A, north of 45th street. The additional height allowances will overshadow our home. Reduce parking requirements will impact our narrow street.</p> <p>We do not object to increased density in general, just hope for compatibility with single family homes. I.e: 3 to 4 story building right next to a single family home.</p>
ETOD	4/30/2024 Mail	<p>Attached Handwritten Comment:</p> <p>We need to focus on unoccupied commercial spaces and maximizing structures (apartments not single family homes) that already exist. I do believe at this point we are overdeveloping Austin.</p>
HOME 2	5/8/2024 Public Input	<p>Smaller setbacks proposed in HOME 2 would be a huge improvement to city code and something I strongly support. Generally, the rationale for setbacks should be reviewed. For example, instead side-street property lines being a blanket 15ft, rather than something more flexible (e.g. factoring in placement of nearby buildings facing the side-street, as front setbacks do). If sight lines are determined to be important factors in side-street setbacks, then only the area immediately around the corner should be subject to this. Further, considerations should also factor in distance from curb, not from the property line Code should be updated to reduce regulatory burdens on renovations to preserve structures built before setbacks were instituted. We are currently working through the costly and time consuming variance process to renovate our 1918 home. This won't help us, but we but we believe that maintaining old houses should be easier for others.</p>
ETOD	5/9/2024 Email	<p>Many thanks for your clear and explicit response.</p> <p>Just to paraphrase your careful explanation:</p> <p>Am I correct then that a developer could, in principle, build 120 foot tall structures along the 4200 block of Guadalupe and 120 foot tall structures to replace the existing apartments on the even side of the 4200 block of Avenue A, but that the houses on the 4200 block of Avenue A could not build up in the same manner?</p> <p>That is, the mostly one story houses on the 4200 block of Avenue A would suffer from the disamenity of huge adjacent buildings, but would have no opportunity to redevelop themselves and therefore no opportunity to monetize the development value afforded to blocks along Guadalupe?</p> <p>Regards,</p>
Compatibility	5/9/2024 Public Input	<p>Please create strong enforcement standards for the landscape buffer!!!</p>
ETOD	5/9/2024 Public Input	<p>I strongly support aligning the land use along corridors with the best methods of traveling along that corridor. Driving along 183 I don't expect to see a small neighborhood shop that depends on foot traffic ; I wouldn't expect to see a truck stop along a transit corridor.</p>