## 1.16.2024 RBSC Meeting Summary

Present: Melanie Cawthon, Michael Taylor, Seema Kairam, Jim Bailey, Pete Alanis, Taylor Beaver, Meredith Siegel

Absent: Nikki Johnson, Jordan Ghawi

Staff: Teresa Myers, Juan Valdez, Sara Wamsley, Jacob Floyd, Krystin Ramirez-Ponce, TC Heydon, Joyce Palmer, Rachel Parrish (DSD), Jason Gray (DSD), Stephen Stokinger (DSD)

Start of meeting

Item #5 – Briefing on City of Austin's Equitable Transit-Oriented Development (ETOD) Policy Plan (Joyce and Jacob)

- Request to hear from VIA at a future meeting on their goals for station areas (ex. grow ridership, increase population density)
- Policy Toolkit
  - Housing Affordability
    - Financing Tools
    - Land Use Strategies
      - Determine how Austin maintains affordable units over time (deed, agreements, other?) will follow up with Austin (Rachel)
      - Soft density similar to Austin's HOME code update from December 2023
    - Homeownership Support & Tenants' Rights
      - Preference Policy
        - Unsure about how this could be implemented in Texas; in Washington, residents received housing preference in neighborhood if they could prove they were displaced (Sara, answering Jim's question on implementation)
        - To incorporate preference policy for housing in San Antonio, would likely need to be part of tenant selection criteria (Pete)
        - Will reach out to Austin for more information on their Preference Policy pilot program
  - Mobility
    - Shared parking program including public parking
  - Land Use and Urban Design
    - Complete Community Policies
      - Not aware of any laws limiting cities in Texas from implementing minimum density requirements (Jacob, answering Jim)
      - Staff will confirm Texas law requirements on whether minimum intensities are permitted



- Incentives, Standards, & Regulations
- Invest in Public Realm
  - Urban Forest Industry switching to tracking trees no longer using canopy as an indicator, but other methods that are more stable (ex. trunks on the ground) (Stephen)
  - (Jim) one of first RBSC meetings tried to tackle tree canopy/tree needs
- o Action Plan
- Discussion
  - Austin staff would probably meet to answer questions if needed (Sara)
  - Will put documents provided by Austin on shared drive
  - Understand goal is to calibrate the SHIP to meet TOD goals (Jim)
  - Keep in mind that Austin is working with existing station areas; San Antonio is working on a new corridor and may need to work differently to get to typologies (Pete)
  - ETOD Policy goals adopted by Austin City Council (Jacob, answering Taylor)
    - San Antonio has Council-adopted goals in existing plans (Comprehensive Plan, Complete Streets, and others) - may be a starting point for TOD goals
  - Important to include people- and market-oriented categories
  - If use Displacement Impact Assessment (DIA) tool, keep accessibility in mind producing an affordable home that isn't accessible isn't what isn't what we need (Melanie)
    - DIA tool
      - Tool is now updated and can query areas (such as the transit corridor)
      - Not necessarily using DIA tool, but it provides some data that can be used to start typology work
  - Caution about using Austin's planning tools because Austin and San Antonio markets are dramatically different; ensure adapt and incentivize tools and policies to meet San Antonio-specific market and needs (Michael)
  - Appreciate time to process and mentally map new information to scope discussed last month (Seema)
    - Recommend RBSC think on this between now and next meeting; review staff updates on possible paths forward at next meeting (Jim)
    - Staff will review Austin's tools and other cities' tools and consider how to apply locally (Sara)
    - If available, consider using data and vulnerability index from when created SHIP; this could help visualize clusters of vulnerability, and allow RBSC and staff to tailor strategies to meet the needs of these areas (Juan)
      - Want to ensure keep plans and station area typologies generic enough to be applicable city-wide (Jim)



- When planning, city-wide applicability a goal of VIA (Krystin)
- Be aware of changing baselines as Advanced Rapid Transit (ART) develops (Rachel)
- Appreciate soft density and overlay options because they give opportunities to finesse and take different approaches (Meredith)
- Would like a summary of what Austin did for community engagement understand basic fundamental elements of community engagement at beginning of process to ensure its included from start of process (Pete)
  - Community engagement will be part of meeting TC Heydon is convening for Mark Carmona (Sara)
  - Reminder going out this week (TC)
  - Compile and share brief list (bullet points) of what Austin did for community engagement ahead of meeting that TC is organizing
  - Will share Chicago's ETOD model in shared folder

## Item #4 SHIP Update- TOD-Related Strategies (Sara)

- Transportation
  - o CIH 5: Establish land banking program
- Anti-Displacement
  - o CHS 6, CIH 1, CIH 2, CIH 3, PPN 1, PPN 2, PPN 4, EAP 3
  - Begin to incorporate "implement community-centered" strategy this strategy was started with UDC update
- Creating Affordable Housing
  - HPRP 7, CHS 2, HPRP 2, HPRP 6
- Discussion
  - Go through policy doc and organize policy initiatives and figure out how they fit into the SHIP framework (Jim)
    - Organize policy so SHIP is guiding principle, and want to do these specific things along the ART
    - Look at neighborhood level to determine what's most appropriate (Pete)
    - Look at triggers (ex. Large Area Rezoning (LAR) unlocks all these (TOD) policy options for neighborhoods) (Jim)
      - Ensure policy is robust and has teeth also know when it will take effect
    - Think the 3-indicator analysis used by Austin gets at not having a blanket set of policies, but reacting to specific condition(s) that are met (Meredith)
    - Ensure clear understanding and distinction between TOD and SHIP (ex. people may feel concern that SHIP-led TOD is diverting general SHIP resources) (Seema)
    - If zoning or land use is a trigger, should not come as surprise to community community should be able to share concerns and goals, feedback goes through groups/task force(s), staff/task force can come back to community and say



- based on what you want to see in the community, here's what City can and cannot do to meet those needs, and why (Pete)
- To Seema's point, important to establish vulnerability criteria; make clear whether the task is to calibrate the SHIP to TOD, or calibrate TOD to SHIP strategies (Juan)
  - If calibrating TOD to SHIP strategy, have set of tools from the SHIP that can be tailored for TOD policy
  - Leverage existing stakeholder structures (ex. work with other departments and their networks to engage and implement)
  - Plan to start with SHIP because it's already adopted, but TOD will reference SHIP (Jim)
  - What is RBSC's end goal? (Juan)
    - Goal to provide advantages to residents within walking distance of ART corridors by providing long-term benefits and allowing residents to utilize these benefits while maintaining/reducing housing and transit costs; increase number of people near and utilizing transit (Pete)
    - Outcome goal: more affordable housing/living options through more affordable transit options (Meredith)
    - Also keep in mind resistance to affordable housing and making clear to community that their neighborhood will still be their neighborhood (fabric maintained, still affordable for them) (Meredith)
  - How did Austin choose the two goals (for ETOD policy)? (Taylor)
    - Iterative process; existing goals within Comprehensive Plan and other plans can be used to find language and goals appropriate to TOD (Jacob)
  - Consider term "livability" (Sara)
  - First agenda item for next meeting is to wordsmith goals (Jim)
    - Ensure vetting language against Working toward Affordable Housing (Melanie)
  - Vision for policy already in existing plans and discussions, just need to gear towards TOD (Pete)
  - By next meeting:
    - Go through next iteration of organizing SHIP strategies and organizing documents
    - Go through Austin policies/tools and make a list of what's applicable in San Antonio
    - Bullet point summary of Austin's engagement process
    - Language/wordsmithing goals (Jacob will try to pull some initial ones based on what's come up at previous meetings)



## Item #3 Strategic Property Acquisition (SPA) (Krystin)

- Note on ADU Initiative: working with Jason's team and home rehab team, as well as stakeholders and community members, to understand common issues on infill and redevelopment to guide scope and next steps
- For SPA, set up framework adjacent to land banking tools available today
  - San Antonio Affordable Housing (SAAH) acquire city's surplus land and issue RFQs; more single-family-focused; typically not strategically acquired
  - o ILA (interlocal agreement) from 2014 working with County and SAISD to update
    - Based on vacant building program and ICRIP (now sunset) policy
    - Function through SAAH and use to acquire land for single-family-focused affordable housing
  - San Antonio Housing Trust (SAHT) TWG/RBSC determining criteria, which will inform how SAHT will acquire land to develop affordable multi-family and subdivision-level single-family development
- Meeting pending to discuss whether these are the right tools, or whether additional or different tools are necessary
- Still in preliminary stages, but will provide more information to RBSC in future
- Discussion
  - A current barrier is insufficient funds have the tools and ability to act quickly, but limited funding (about \$10 million) (Pete)
  - Is city leading decision on where and how/when choose to purchase land? (Seema)
    - Currently on hold, pending criteria from TWG; SHIP gives some guidance, but want to ensure able to incorporate TOD-based input (Krystin)
    - Important to select land that public will need to own long-term, which will provide opportunities for permanent affordability (Pete)
    - Several tax-credit-driven developers have historically pushed back on location-based criteria - likely expect additional pushback (Jim, answering Juan)
    - Focus on properties where development is unfeasible for tax-credit-driven developers, or areas that are not yet considered attractive to market (by developers) (Pete)
    - Need to consider scoring criteria to ensure developers aren't getting
      affordability bonuses for units that force residents to own a car or use VIA
      Link (too far from bus stop/other transit options) (Jim)
    - Revitalization on west side of Atlanta (near stadium) used new market tax credits (Juan)
      - Will provide case study Westside Future Fund
- Next meeting: at Merced, February 20

