Attachment A - Scoring Criteria

Scoring will be on a scale of 1 to 5 with a maximum total score of 30. Each criterion is weighted equally.

		Proposal Evaluation	Incomplete	Insufficient	Adequate	Good	Excellent
_			<u>1 Point</u>	<u>2 Points</u>	<u>3 Points</u>	<u>4 Points</u>	<u>5 Points</u>
	1	meets an essential community need and fits with the County's strategic plan focus areas	community at large, or does not align with the County's focus areas.	Presents limited info about the need and/or has week alignment to focus areas.	community need and alignment to focus areas.		Presents significant need with a compelling argument and multiple qualitative or quantitative data points that strongly align with the County's strategic plan focus areas.
		clear, reasonable actions that are likely to meet the need	Project explanation is unclear and lacks mention of a specific goal, how the project will work, or who will be served.	clearly explained or is missing key	aligned to the goals of the grant funds but may be missing details about models, activities, and/or	Project structure is clear, including model and implementation plans, and is aligned to the goals of the grant funds.	Project is very well designed with specific activities and milestones, based on proven model(s), includes implementation steps, and is well aligned with the goals of the grant funds.
		includes quantifiable results and	Little to no description of results or ways to measure performance of the project.	Description of what will be achieved by the project is unclear and/or lacks explanation about performance measurement. (If applicable, poor previous results track record.)	the grant and project plan, but more information is needed about performance	Proposed results are well described, aligned to the goals of the grant and project plan, and there is a clear plan for measuring success. (If applicable, good previous results track record.)	Proposed results are clearly described and likely to have a high impact in alignment with goals of the grant funds, including specific measures and methods for effectively measuring results of the funded project. (If applicable, excellent previous results track record.)
			be structured to complete the project as described.	Organization has not sufficiently demonstrated capacity to carry out proposed plan through record of success and/or collaborative partnerships.	appears to be reasonably within organizational capacity to carry out.	Organization demonstrates a good likelihood to succeed with the of proposed project, including organizational history and collaborative partnerships to leverage necessary project support.	Organization demonstrates strong ability to realistically manage the scope and scale of proposed project, including organizational history and collaborative partnerships to leverage necessary project support.

Attachment A - Scoring Criteria

Scoring will be on a scale of 1 to 5 with a maximum total score of 30. Each criterion is weighted equally.

	Proposal Evaluation	Incomplete	Insufficient	Adequate	Good	Excellent
		<u>1 Point</u>	<u>2 Points</u>	<u>3 Points</u>	<u>4 Points</u>	<u>5 Points</u>
5	Equity : Organization has a demonstrated commitment to equity reflected in the proposed project	Very limited or no explanation of approach to equity or inclusion.	is vague.	Provides an organizational definition of equity and strategy that is understandable and reasonable.	equity, and concrete description of how equity strategy is reflected in the proposed project.	Clear organizational definition of equity. Concrete, tangible description of how equity strategy is reflected in proposed project, including examples of activities and accountability measures.
6	Budget : Includes a clear, reasonable, and efficient budget matched to proposed project	Project budget is incomplete, unrealistic, and/or poorly aligned with the budget narrative.	unclear, or includes items that do not seem reasonable.	Budget is complete, clear, realistic, and reasonably aligned with the narrative and project plan, but there are questions on some items.	explanatory notes, clear, reasonable and matched to the community need and project	Budget is complete with explanatory notes, clear, detailed, realistic, comprehensive, efficient and clearly aligned with the narrative and project plan.